Previous references. 41 P 1903-4. (Separate Rev.)

File No. 116 of 03-04.

Serial Nos. 1-4.

Later references.

Ex 28-30-1,2,4,6,7+10

Mog 14:6-34-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Major **Part B. for** May 1904.

SUBJECT.

Inamdars' claim to the Excise Rent from Toddy of Hunsaghatta, Honnali Taluk.

Sl. 1. From The Excise Comr in Mysore
No 966 D.64/31 Ex: 27th July 1903.
Submits with his opinion the record of an enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Hunsaghatta Inam village, Honnali Taluk.

Sl 2 To The Excise Comr in Mysore
No 452, 9/12/03.
Requests that a copy of the Inam Register of Enquiry may be obtained and forwarded to the office as the same is not sent.

G. R. S. 58

From The Excise Comr
Sl 3 D. 313/Ex No 6151/243 29/1/1904.
... a copy of the Register of the above Inam Village

To The Excise Commr. & The Comptroller

No 738-9-Ex E 14-01. 13.5-04

Observes that the Village referred to above, Contains both a Shop and a Toddy grove and that the Guarantors Claim to the Excise Rent from Toddy is allowed

2nd Cxd

investigating officer. Rules 10 & 11 do not seem to be applicable at all

Submitted for orders

N.G.
30/3/04

(2) This case is interesting as bringing to light a gap in the existing rule. The date village in question (Hamasghatta) has both a shop & date grove; but it so happens that, in the 4 years from 1898-99 & 1901-02, the date grove did not supply any toddy to the shop except in 1901-02. & that the main source of supply of toddy to the shop was a number of date trees in Bhenagiri Taluk or in other words was not the date grove in the main village itself. Rules 9 & 11 of 1905

contemplate only 3 cases

(a) where the date grove in the
Incam village supplies the toddy only
~~for the whole~~ for the village shops

(b) where the village date grove, in addition to supplying the village shops,
supplies toddy to shops in other
or other alienated villages but entitled to the whole revenue

(c) where the village date ~~tree~~ grove, in addition to supplying the shops
supplies toddy to shops in other alienated
villages entitled to the toddy cess revenue

The case of Hunaseghatta (viz a
village in which the date grove
does not supply toddy to the village
shops & is more nominal than
real) is not provided for by
the rules, as Rule 12 refers to
a village with a shop but no date
grove. There is however no doubt
that, as decided by the Investigating
officer, the Tahsildar of Hunaseghatta

is entitled to the Tree-tax on
trees tapped in the Chennagiri
taluk for the supply of
toddy to his village shops.
Rule 11 may be saw to cover
this case by concerning the
"other alienated villages entitled
to the [illegible] excise revenue from
toddy" to be the Jamabandha
villages. Rule 12 may also be
saw to apply as the date from
in the village is practically
a vanishing quantity & the
village of Hunasghatta may
be saw to contain a shop but
no grove. None of there can reasonably
be said to be a satisfactory interpretation

1 but the trees tapped here, but be in an alienated village

4 it was seen necessary

to issue a rule (now numbered as

9(1), the existing rule 9(xxx being

renumbered as 9(2)) in the following

9(1) - Where the use of the Chief

Village Headman & Supply

Teddy & the Village Mosque & Trees

elsewhere are required for the purposes of

Teddy & Thai Thoi, the Pre-Tax

& the Trees thus Taxed shall also be

charged a minus the

cost of the establishment of

Opc - shall be payable to

the Pre-Tax

(3) Rule 9(2)

Submitted to the Governor through the 1st Cr

19/4/04

8/4/04

1110

7

I agree to the claim being allowed, & to the Lycee Louis application being invited on the draft additional rule.

Draft order in all respects may be signed

20/4/04

20/4

Rec'd 24/4/04
Ydamour
17353

Sc 1
S.R.No 703-04

Dy 4643 30/03.

1903

From H. V. Nanjundayya Esq B.A.
Asst Commissioner
in Mysore.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq M.A. Asst Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore General and Revenue Departments

Dated 27th
Despatched 27th } July 1903.

Received

General No. 966
No. 31

Enclosure No 1 and 2 files with 7 books

Submits with opinion the record of enquiry relating to the Jaddy Revenue of Hunsaghatta Main village, Honnali taluk.

Encl
30-7-03

No 21

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated, Bangalore 27th July 1903.

From

B. N. Purnaiya Esquire B.A.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. V. Nanjundayya Esquire B.A. Bt.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Hemsaghatta Mandal village, Honnali taluk, together with a copy of the Shemoga District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 2393/291, dated 29th May 1903, I have the honor to state that I concur in the opinions of the investigating officer and Deputy Commissioner that the Mandars are entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy which has been conceded to them

them by the main 3 Settlement. There
is both a Toddy shop and a date grove
in the village. Their enjoyment of
it has been proved by certain docu-
ments produced by them. Accordingly
Excise Revenue is payable to them
subject to Rules 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 and 12
in Government Proceedings No 2074-83
R. 7166-92
dated 2nd August 1901.
S.R.F. 9 of 01-02.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

4

Shimoga District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Dated 29th May 1903

no: 2393/291 Enc Record

Enclosure to SL/

From

K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To,

P. N. Purnaiya Esquire BA.
Excise Commissioner
in mysore

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum no: 2169-75/86 of 24th August 190 anent the settlement of the rights of Inamdars to Toddy Revenue in Inam villages, I have the honour to forward herewith the entire records in Connection with the settlement of Hunsgutta Inam village, Honnali Taluk.

1. The records made on 20th may by mr. C. S. Balasundram Iyer asst. Comr. as per forest.

2. The records read with official memo quoted as per forest.

2: It will be seen from the enquiry held by mr. C. S. Balasundram Iyer, Assistant Commissioner, that the Inamdar have every right to the enjoyment of the Revenue from Toddy in the village

The

The claim is supported by Inam settle-
-ment Register and other Government Records.

3. The amount payable to the Inamdar on this account is particularized in the finding recorded by the Assistant Commissioner, and no advances have been made to the Inamdars.

4. I agree in the findings arrived at by the Assistant Commissioner on his enquiry in the matter.

I have &c——

(Sd) K. Puttanna Chetty

Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

'Copy'

For Esqre Commissioner

in Mysore

LA

b

3

Enquiry into the claim of the Inamdars of the Hunasaghatta Inam village to Toddy Revenue

Finding

The Hunasaghatta ~~Judicium~~ village in the Hosmati Taluk is a Jodi Inam village attached to the Brindavana of Satyabodha Swami at Savanur. The present managers of the institution are five in number as per list given by Subbannachar. All of them have been served with notice to attend the enquiry, but only one of them Subbannachar appeared, & was examined.

A certified copy of an extract from the Inam settle-
ment

Register is enclosed as also copies of correspondence between the Dy. Comr & the Supdt of Inam settlement ending with Inam Supdt's yadi No. 119 dated 21-8-94. From this it is clear that the Inamdar is entitled to the Toddy revenue - "ಅರ್ಧ ಡಂಕ ಪನ್ನ". I therefore hold that the Inamdars is entitled to the Excise Revenue from Toddy.

From 1892-93 ~~till now the~~ to 1896-97 the Shop in the village & the grove in the village appear to have been rated as separate farms.

According to the rules laid down in Govt Pro $\frac{2074-83}{R.D\ 166-92}$ - 92-8-01

the following memo of calculations shows the amount payable to the Inamdar from 1892-93 to 1901-02

I. Farming System.

	Shop farm:	Grove farm
92-93.	120-u-u	8-u-u
93-94.	300-u-u	19-11-u
94-95	132-u-u	12-u-u
95-96	156-u-u	12-u-u
96-97	120-u-u	12-u-u
	828-u-u	63-11-u

Total 891-11-u.

V. Inamdar
Sir if local cost has been excluded &c
Sir Lands has been deducted &c

Deduct Establishment charges at 6% under rule 2 — 53-8-0 12/50

Net Amount payable to Inamdar — 838-3-u

The Executive ought to ascertain from the inspector of Vingorla if this is correct &c

Note. There are no accounts to show if the shop had obtained supplies of toddy from any grove not sold as an independent farm

II. Preliminary Tree-tax System.

1897-98. Shop rent — 12-0-0

Tree tax for 30 Trees tabbed in Kunasacatta for this shop — 30-0-0

42-0-0

Deduct establishment charges at 6% — 2-8-3·84.

Net Amount payable to Inamdar — 39-7-8.

III. Tree-tax System

98-99	Shop rent	12-0-0
99-00	do	96-0-0
00-01	do	144-0-0
01-02	do.	48-0-0
	Total Shop rent	300-0-0

Tree-tax.

9-8-99. 100 trees tabbed in Chemakiri Taluk for this shop — 100-0-0

No trees tabbed in Kunasacatta — 0-0-0.

4

3/4

to have been collected &
credited in the Taluk Treasuries
concerned.

S Balasundaram
2nd Asst Commr

Camp Benavalli
20. May 1903

I concur in the above
finding

W H Nethersole
DC

29/May/1903

Calendar of a claim to Tasdy Revenue enquired into by the 2nd Assistant Commissioner, Shimoga District, Shimoga.

Name of Village	Name of Inamdar or Mortgagee	Name of Inam - Jahgir or Kayamgutta	Name of Inam - Land or Kayamgutta	Documents relied upon	Witnesses examined	Decision	Amount of assessment Payable	Grounds of decision
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Honnali	Gaswehalli	Annasaphalla	Brindavana of Sakkara Bodha Swamiji of Savanur	i. A certified copy of an extract from Inam Settlement Register No. 10, dated 22.9.82. ii. Rules laying down in Government Order No. No 2971-83 R.T.166-82 dated 2.8.01. iii. Annual statements of Outlet Tasdy sales and accounts.	Nil.	The Inamdars are entitled to the Excise Revenue from Tasdy.	Rs. 1869-00-0	Enjoyment of the Inamdars under Inam Settlement

20/5/03

Balasundaram
2nd Assistant Commissioner.
Shimoga District.

14 Memo

The following explanation is submitted in reply to the Asst. Secy's query in the office note.

It is apparent from the entry in Column 13 of the Inam Register that the village of Mumsagetta was in the possession & enjoyment of the Satanabotha Swami Matt even before the date of the grant of Inam. The Inam was granted in 1814. There is nothing to show that the Swamiar has not since been enjoying the Inam & therefore the right in question. In the absence of any such evidence it may be presumed that the enjoyment has been continuous extending from 1814 to 1892, a period of 78 years. In the Inam Register the average Rent of the village has been calculated taking the revenue for the 10 years commencing from 1869. The revenues of previous years were not shown, probably as unnecessary under the rules. But it is probable that accounts for those years existed though they are not now produced.

A. Besides this presumption, the Statement of Doria Kari Sidda Asst. 70 years shows that the Swamiars were in the enjoyment of the right for 30 or 40 years previous to 1894. Doria Boddi Nimma Asst. 50 also deposes to the same effect.

B From a copy of an order issued by the Divn Supdt, Duggan Divi to the Swamiar of Mamidi, it may be inferred that the Swamiar was enjoying the right prior to 1860, the date of the order.

In his statement made before the Revenue Rent & Abkari Admin Deputy Commissr of Chemoka in 1894, Subbamallu

This is not what is wanted. Evidence of enjoyment for 12 years previous to 1892-93

C

Too vague to be relied on by

of the Hill States, that the Inamdars
have for a long time (continued through
out) enjoyed the right - (illegible)

M.
7.4.02

SR 116903-04
SR 3

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

To The Excise Commr
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft
Date of despatch 9/12/03.

Regd. No. 453
File No. & date (if any) EP 714-01

No. of Encls.

His letter no 966/31, dy
27.7.03, relating to
the Inamdars' claim
to the toddy prevence
of the Hunsachatta
village, Honnali Talu

with reference to
the above has the honou
to request that a copy
of the Inam perfoleu
of enquiry may be
obtained & forwarded
to this office as the same
has not been sent
with the records perta
with the above. BLR.

4/12
for Secy

SR 17/116 of 03-04 Ex 313 of 2/2/04

2 - FEB 1904

FROM R.N. Narayanaiya Esquire B.A
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esqre M.A M.L.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharajah of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Dated 29th } January 1904
Despatched 1-2 }

Received

General No. 615/
No. 243

Enclosure No. 1 Register

Forwards copy of the Inam Register of the Hunsagatta village Honnali Taluk.

Encl
2.2.04

No: 6151/243 Exc / Register

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, Dated 29th January 1904.

From

P. N. Permaiya Esquire B. A
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundiah Esquire M. A. B. L.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharajah of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honour to forward Copy of the Inam Register of Hunsagatta village Hosnali Taluk, called for in your No. 45: Ex: 7 14-01 dated 9th ultimo.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant
(Illegible)
For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Register sheet No 191.
Village - Hoonsagutta.
Summoto - Sanasinehally
Taluck = Honally.

Copy of the Inam Register of ~~Inams~~ in the Village of Hoon asaghatta in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5

Title deed No 390

6 Devadaya

As per Zameen Jhadaya Jaccobuk 1801

Dry & Garden Cultivated Dry

Title deed No 3644
Date of prepn 1-1-70
Date of issue to Talook 5-2-70

Attrition in 1806 --

Stull
6 Cand 13 Coad
6¼ Seer =
Krishnaraj
15 Cand 5 Coad
1½ Seer = 201.78

Inamdars Eynaish
1869

Dry Site of village 96026, Sq yds
= 19.84

Poramboke 9,00000 do
= 185.95

Cultivated waste 4.44,752 Sq yds
= 91.89

Cultivated 4,555,447 do
= 941.21

1238.89

Garden 1,82,628 Sq yds
= 37.73

Grand Total 1276.62

Indisputed Inams 1.96,073 Sq yds.
being Dry = 40.51.
& Garden

1317.13

× Private well

Sammat of ~~Hurhally~~ Sansivehally in the Taluk of ~~Shimoga~~ Honahally

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it ts to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
old Beriz - Pagodas 190 - 4/4		For support of Certain Services to be performed at the Brinda- -vanum of Sutyabodha Swamy at Savanoor	Jody Rs. 77-12-1 Exclusive of the jody on indepen- -dent Inams viz 16-12-7
66 - 9/8			
257 - 3/8 = 973 - 3 - 4 Vide Column 14 also.		~~H.B~~ viz 1 Kandadeepam 2 Santarpane 3 Viseshaguthay Brindavana in good order and services Continued	94 - 8 - 8.
			Local Fund 1868 9 - 10 - 0

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
Granted by His Highness the Maha Raja of Mysore in 1814.	One original Sannad granting the village valued at 257 Pagodas & 3 3/8 Fanams for the support of the Services specified in Column 8, subject to a jodi of 25 Pagodas

Of Shimoga

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
Iruvarana of Satya Bodha Swamy at Savanoor.	Beriz Jhasty account 1804. Extent as in Column 4.	Jarry account 1832. Savanoor Satyabodha Swamy Inam. Jarry 228 pagodas 13/14 fan Jory 25.
	Cymaishy account 1804 Satyabodha Swamy Inam at Savanoor	1856. Same. Village of Hoonasaghatta Beriz Rs 973-3-4 Including jary Inams Rs 32-8-4 Balance as follows Land 931—4—9 Mokaturfa 7—12—0 Inroyi —1—14—3 940—4—0 Jory 77-12-8
	Not entered in the jary account of 1807.	Chittas 1841—68 same as above
		Vyseitputty of 1838 Same Krishnachar Beriz Pagodas 257-3 3/8.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18

Savanoor

Brindavana
—?
Satya Bodha
Swamy.

Inam is enjoyed
Specially by
1 Ramachar
2 Venkatachar
3 - Madhwaraman
—Char
4. Srinivasachar
5. Nursappachar
6. Sunnappachar
who conduct services
by annual
—turns.

Note
For subsequent Inams, see separate Register, which has been
signed by the Inamdars.
Subsequent alienations

Hadig Venkappa
accommodation
Hola 2 80-00 sq yards
= 5.78
Asst = 3-12-6 Free

25

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.	
Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	~~Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation~~ by the Inam Commissioner.
19	~~20~~

This is ~~the~~ one of the whole Inam villages of the Taluk, and by order No. 798 of 1864 Deputy Superintendent it was directed to be included among the Survamanyam villages.

No details can be furnished, in the absence of the manager, to whome a notice dated 7 March 1869. has been issued & served on the 6th April 1869. He has not put in an appearance hither to.

To be attached under Rule 13.

The Independent Jarmy Inams in the villages have been separately registered & the Registers already submitted for confirmation.

Signed Woodcock
Assistant.

24-6-69.

The parties appeared on the 30th Ultimo, and filed the statement, viz Sheshagiriyachar of Bokikere represents them at the settlement.

The Inam is valid, and the items enjoyed by the Inamdar are shown in the Table on the other side. Those mentioned in Column 14 are according to the Inamty accounts.

To be confirmed so long as services are regularly performed. Subject to present jodi, without fractions, or Rupees 77-12/- exclusive of

of the goods on the undispensed Inam which is to pass through the Inamdars of the Shrotriam. The deduction prescribed in Proceedings No. 4 dated 13th Instant, is not made as the Register of Minor Inams in the villages is not here.

27-8-69. (signed) Assistant.

By order of the Superintendent of Sugar No. 506 dated 12th December 1851, it was directed that the name of the Brindavanum should be entered in the Chittah, with the remark that the village is in the possession of the person who performs the services for the time being.

(signed) Assistant.

Inamdars revenue

year	Land			Abkary & Toddy	Puchatwa	Amroy	Hoolgaval	Clough Tax	other items	Total		
1	2			3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
1859	763	5	7	24	2	12	1 8			791	9	7
60	761	1	1	24	2	12	1 8			789	5	1
61	762	9	4	24	1 12	8	1 12			790	9	4
62	765	3	5	24	2	8	1 12			793	7	5
63	770	9	6	24	2	8	1 12			798	13	6
64	772	9	1	24	2 4	12	2			801	9	1
65	778	5	7	24	2 4	12	2			807	5	7
66	775	3	8	24	2 8	1	2			804	11	8
67	781	15	4	24	2 8	1	2 4			811	11	4
68	776	1	8	24	8	1 8	3 8			805	9	8
	770	7	3	240	19 12	8 4	20			7994	12	3

Average 770-11-3 24 — 1-15-7 · 12-10-2 — — — — 799-7-8

Boundaries

South. 1 The Coomby land of the Tulwar of Tyagada cuttay village. Total Coomby called "Germarada Gowda Coomby" of Kullahally. And boundary stone in Hootta or Ant hill on the margin of the Dassar Coomby of the said village.

2 West of the above. Black boundary stone on the road to Kullahally

3 West of the above. Two boundary stones called Rungunathadevaru Kulloo

4. West of the above. Vamana moodry stone on the border of the carpenters field.

5. South of the above. Channel of the villages of Kullahally & Vitlapoora

6 West of the above Vamoonamoodry stone on the boundaries of the villages of Chennanapura Vittalapura & Hoonsagutta.

West. 7 North of the east mentioned stone - Vamana - moodry stone on the borders of the field called Halukana hola.

8 West of the above. Black stone facing the east & laying to the north of the sliding rock. called "Jara Boundary"

9 North of the above. Boundry white stone near the Toovarina Kulloo of the villages of Hanasa - gutta & Hosahally.

North 10 East of the 6th = Boundary stone.

Boundary

Boundary stone with an engrasing of the Sun & Moon. planted near Karay tree, and facing the west.

11 East of the above. 2 boundary stone with the sine of Sree Siddeswara planted near a ~~karay tree~~ Hillock & called Benachi Kalloo mittee.

12 East of the above White Linga anvoodray stone on the ridge of the field called "Honnally Inatagi hola.

13. North of the above. Boundary stone in an Ant-Hill or Hootta which is on the border of the above mentioned field, & to the South of the Tank called Haravanakere.

14. North of the above. Stone said to be in existence of Basavannadevar hola in the bed of the Tank mentioned above.

15. East of the above. Basary tree on the road to Kyasanakere & Huttihanloo.

16 East of do Water Channel.

17. South east of the above. Border of the Cultivated fields called "mary Hoody Haravoo."

18 East of the above. Three heaps of stones being the Boundary of this village & Huttihanloo.

East

19 Boundary stone on the margin of the dasar field

field situated to the west of the Bamantree called "Santay Alada Mara" & on a line with the last mentioned boundary.

20. South of the above. Stone inscription in the Hoolla or Anti-Hill planted on the limits of the villages of Iyagadakuttay & Kullahally.

No boundary disputes.

The neighbouring villages have signed the statement in admission of the above fact. Vide Amildar of Hosahalley's report No. 1173 dated 19th Instant & correspondence attached there to.

Review by the special Assistant and confirmed by the Inam Commissioner.

20.

The Inamdars unsupported by any vouchers make the average of their rental less than the old being mentioned in Columns 6 & 13 and I do not see any reason assigned why these should be a decrease whether increase is the general state of things, The valuation of the village may be fixed at Rupees 1002. However this being Devarayan village it does not much matter for purposes of this settlement.

(Signed) B. Gopaliah
Spl. Assistant.

To be attached.

10-8-69. (Signed) B. Gopaliah
Spl. Asst.

To be confirmed subject to the existing jodi of Rupees 94-9-0.

31-8-69. (Signed) B. Gopaliah
Spl. Asst.

Confirmed subject to a Quit Rent of Rupees 94-9-0
(Signed) J. Clerk.
Inam Commissioner

December 11th 69

Compd.
JB

"Copy"

Bangalore
dated 22d January 1904

Supt. of Inamsetty

SR/16-07-04 31

SL Proc of the Govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

Read

correspondence ending with letter No 6157/243 dt 2/2/04 from the Excise Comr in Mysore reporting on the claim of the Inamdar of Hunasghatta Village, Honnali taluk to the excise revenue from toddy

738-9/47.14-01 dt 13/5/04. also Report

The Village contains with a shop
trees in the
Seen 14-5-04 via date grove, the latter however being in suspension & supply toddy to the former, and trees in Channagiri taluk being tapped for the shop. The manner claim to the excise Revenue from toddy

cc b d ll 20/4/04

was never conceded at the Inam Settlement
and there is evidence to show that the Inamdar's
predecessor in interest enjoyed
the revenue for 12 years prior to
1852-53 as well as in previous
years. The claim is allowed &

the Collr is directed to recover the amount
of Rs 849-10-0 awarded by the Investigating officer &
subsequently restored to full from the
Inamdar & the Inamdar's
amounts due to the Inamdar from
year to year under the rules.

2. The rules issued in Govt proc. No. 2074-83
RF 166-92
dt 2/8/07 do not provide for a
case where the village therein depends for its right to
part of
part of the village from a party
one date from outside the village which rule 10 & 11 are
required by the Collr when he appeals to the applicant to show cause
in accompanying draft rule - to be numbered
as 9(1), the existing rule 9 being numbered as 9(2) -
seems necessary to meet such cases; but
before adopting it, the opinion of the

I have the Exec Com's opinion on the foregoing ~~do~~ rule.

"

9(b) Where the trees on his Village are insufficient to supply today & the Village shops and trees elsewhere are tapped for the supply today & the shop, the tree-tax of the trees thus tapped ~~as~~ also shall be payable to him ~~same~~ the cost of ~~just~~ establishment at 6 ~~pie~~ which shall be payable to ~~Govt~~ as well as the local cess on the tree-tax

1848 10-V-04

12/5/04

To The Excise Com'r

(Myson, Secretary, Revenue Board) 8/4/04

The Comptroller in Mysore